SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 4318 /2005

PETITIONER

Minor Ku. Sarita Nishad, D/o. Shri Samaru Nishad, aged about 17 years, through her guardian Shri Samaru Nishad, Resident of Village and Post- Jarekela, Tahsil Gharghoda, District Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4454/05
Presented by Shri Ajay Sharma
dated 9-05

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

1. State of Chhattisgath, Through : Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Board of Secondary Education Chhattisgarh, Pension Bada, Raipur (C.G.)

RETURN-COPY 19.9.05 A. C. BILASPUR CLE...

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND ORDERS/DIRECTION.

DETAILS OF THE PETITION: -

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 4318/05

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14-9-2005 Mr. Ajay Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Yashwant Singh, Government Advocate for the respondents/State. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition being aggrieved by the act of the respondent No.2 for not evaluating the answer-sheets of the petitioner of Hindi and Mathematics subjects and has prayed that the respondents be directed to re-evaluate her answer-sheets of the subjects of Hindi and Mathematics. The case of the petitioner is that she is an average student and she always secured good marks. In the year 2003, the petitioner secured 47.6% marks in Class VIIIth Board Examination, in IXth Class Examination in the year 2004, she secured 48.66% marks and in the year 2005 she appeared in Xth Class Board Examination in which she has been awarded 0 mark in Hindi and 23 marks in Mathematics. Thereafter, she applied for re-totaling of the numbers and paid a fee of Rs.200/- therefor on 27-6-2005, but so far no result has been communicated to the petitioner. As far as the re-totaling is concerned, the petitioner has already applied and necessary fee has been deposited therefor, therefore, the respondent No.2 is required to communicate the result of the application of the petitioner. So far that application for the re-totaling has not been decided by the respondent No.2, therefore, no question of re-evaluation arises. Otherwise, re-evaluation can be	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 4318/05

मामला क्रमांक —2 **सन्** 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

directed only in exceptional cases where there is patent illegality in evaluation of the answer-sheets.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to communicate the result of the application of the petitioner filed on 27-6-2005 for re-totaling within a period of 15 days from today.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

G.Singh

(पीछे देखिये)